

Introduction to Anarcho-Occultism



Written by

The Forgotten Goddess

Definitions

Alright, so before I start some terms need to be defined for the uninitiated, as they will be used regularly. Anarchism describes the leftist philosophy of having no rulers, and is fundamentally against any system that establishes a hierarchy among the people. This includes all forms of authoritarianism, violence/intimidation from the established system (both physical and mental), capitalism, and really anything placing one person/group of people over another to command them. An anarchist society would have everyone in charge rather than one person, group, or system. It would be entirely decentralized and non-hierarchical, allowing for individuals to work together collectively to take care of each other whilst maintaining total individual freedom and respect for the differences of other people. Rather than having the people on top commanding the people at the bottom, everyone from the bottom is taking charge and takes commands from nobody, for there is no leader.

Occultism/esotericism is basically any religious practice and/or information that diverges from mainstream society. I will be using these two terms interchangeably. Examples would include paganism, magick, Gnosticism, Satanism, indigenous religions (by technicality), Discordianism, Thelema, Wicca, or literally anything that isn't organized religion (religions with established mainstream influence and churches), especially Abrahamic religions (Christianity, Islam, Judaism, etc). They can be a full on religion or just a certain set of practices, and really it is more so defined by what it's not rather than what it is, as it's a very broad term containing a wide range of ideas. Hell, you could even make your own religion (Foreshadowing is a literary device that hints at fu-).

Those are the main two definitions that are relevant to this essay (essay? Or is it a manifesto? I don't even know in all honesty). To anarchists or even to most people these definitions may already be obvious. I am aware, and I'm not trying to be condescending or anything in case you already did know what they mean, I just think that sometimes it's better to overexplain than to assume that someone already knows it, something that I see in leftist spaces more often than not. I, on the other hand, opt to do things differently. Anyway, that's all, folks.

Our Society

It is no secret that the world is messed up. From small mundane things like the cost of living and rampant consumerism, to larger things like war, societal collapse, and an incompetent government. If you're perceptive enough you'd know I was talking about the US. So why say world? Because even though there are some problems that seem more prevalent in the US, they aren't always exclusive to it. The average person in the United States feels empty, isolated, and fearful of where the world is going. You think that other people in the world aren't suffering the same? This sounds like I'm just using the same arguments as your boomer relatives who suddenly begin to care about the issues with other countries (even though they never seemed to before) whenever you begin to criticize the US. This is not the case though. Instead, I want to tell you to take it a step further: criticize the whole world. Hell, criticize the universe. Not coming from the false sense of superiority felt from nationalists and patriots, but coming from a place of legitimate concern for others, something that is lacking in our modern society.

These days capitalism has taken new heights and methods that

were undetected by Marx, who rightfully commented on class issues but didn't get the full picture. I believe Debord and Kropotkin did that in a way that better applied to the modern era, especially the former. Still, none of them have lived through the age of social media dominating every aspect of our lives. In theory, social media was meant to make us more connected, and in some ways that might be true. I wouldn't have met one of my best friends to this day had I never gotten Discord. On the other hand, and I'm sure many feel this even more, it makes us feel more isolated. Our generation is getting lonelier and more socially inept. I should know, I'm that same person, and although I was kind of like that even before social media, it kind of worsened because of it. I myself was late to the social media train compared to my peers, and even then I didn't go on the same sites as most of them did, like Snapchat, Twitter, or Tik Tok. I did have Instagram for a bit but it was mostly for shitposting, and I eventually left it both because of the intense FOMO I felt looking at it and the fact that Mark Zuckerberg is trash. To this day I basically just have Youtube, Discord, Reddit, and occasionally 4chan (even though it's kind of a cesspool). Even so, I still exhibit some of the same habits as many people my age, like doomscrolling (continuously scrolling negative content, usually news, making you feel miserable). No longer is the internet the bright, colorful place for outcasts to express themselves creatively and form their own communities. No longer is it a maximalist, unpredictable place for exploration. Instead, it got infested by the world we originally tried to hide from, corporations have sucked all the fun out of it aesthetically and now heavily rely on algorithms, and even though we pretend the cyber bullying of the past is behind us, it still exists and in some ways was even more nitpicky than before. Everyone these days is trying to sell you something, you have to question 50% of the time whether what you're watching is even real, and the worst part is

many people just can't look away from it. We want to stop caring about celebrities and influencers, stop looking at culture wars propagated by right wing commentary figures (Matt Walsh, Ben Shapiro, Charlie Kirk, etc) and politicians, stop falling for clickbait, and stop with all this consumerism pushed by influencers. But alas, it is like a drug. We are addicted to the things we hate.

How did this even happen? Is there anything we can do about it? Some say to accelerate this technology to create a world even MORE dictated by technology. While I feel like technological advancement can be useful, I don't believe it is a priority. The technologies we should focus on advancing hardly ever get advanced enough anyhow. Besides, who'll be able to afford all this new tech? Why do you think the richest people have something to do with technology in some way? Plus, it'll only worsen the problems mentioned previously, and then all life would be a shell of its former self. Some say to abolish technology altogether and live like they did in prehistory. While in theory this may be okay, the more you tear it apart the less it works. How are trans people supposed to get HRT? How are the disabled supposed to get support? Often they either don't have an answer or simply go "natural selection, baby". Great, so social darwinism! How did that go again? I don't know, something about Germany, I reckon. I think that one boils down to individualism. Now, I used to be quite fond of egoism and Max Stirner. Initially I rejected it but then came around to it. Now I have an appreciation for it but ultimately decided it wasn't the right path. The reason simply comes down to the fact that under individualist ideas, we become expected to do everything ourselves. If you fail, it is your own weakness at fault. This kind of philosophy I believe was popularized during the Enlightenment period, and has become a staple in American thought. Of course, this philosophy ignores external and internal

factors. Suppose someone has a mental thing, and that might prevent them from completing tasks as well as their peers, be it depression, anxiety, self doubt, neurodivergence, etc. They might tell you to push those feelings aside and do it anyway. "Man up". "Thug it out". "Suck it up". Gee, why haven't I thought of that? I'll just go over to press this little button here and turn all of it off. Listen, that type of mentality might work for you, Izabella, but it doesn't work for many people. If you feel unable to do something, wouldn't it make more sense not to do it? Oh, but unfortunately society pushes the idea of productivity and the idea that nothing comes for free and "the American dream". Just wake me up from that already. As a result, we kind of feel obligated to do this kind of thing for the rest of our lives, and if we don't we're at best made to feel guilty about it for being "lazy", or at worst we end up on the street. Even if you're doing all of that, you'd have to be living under a rock to think that it'll get you anywhere. For most people, they don't. This is the external factor. Many times there are things that we had no say over. You know, the basic ones are society, systemic issues, life circumstances, all those things go into play. However, and this ties into the isolation aspect of things before, I believe another GIANT elephant in the room is the spiritual side of things.

In many leftist spaces I'm in, at least with more mainstream sects of Marxists and sometimes other anarchists, there's this rejection of anything related to spirituality, the supernatural, and the occult, tying into their rightful gripes with organized religion. I don't believe in the enforcement of any religion, even esoteric ones. Historically they led to violence, wars, repression, persecution, torture, discrimination, and the use of religion to justify hatred towards anyone they don't like (regardless of whether that hatred was a part of the religion or not). Obviously they'd be skeptical of the

whole idea altogether. Okay, that's great, no issues with this (although liberals may critique Christianity but not Islam or Judaism in order to appear more progressive or something. If you're gonna critique organized religion and its issues, critique ALL of it). However, in their pursuit to combat religious oppression, they end up rejecting the very real spiritual aspects of life altogether. This isn't exclusive to the left, by the way, as rightoids can be athiests as well. I used to be an athiest who still had a belief in magic and the paranormal. I was laughed at by other devout athiests. Why do they do this so? Part of it is them being stuck in both Enlightenment thought (although many mainstream leftists try to act like they're above it) and the teachings of Marx, who, again, didn't get the full picture and didn't go remotely far enough. During my time as an athiest, I felt kind of aimless, and there was a lot of cognitive dissonance going on. I knew there wasn't the traditional Abrahamic god, but was there really nothing? I was always drawn to the occult but I never felt so aimless upon trying to reject it. Was there really nothing? Is life really just limited to the things we could outwardly see or explain? What implications does that have? Does it not exist if you can't see or explain it? We can't even slightly entertain it? What about stuff like vampires, magic, faeries, elves, the possibility of other gods, unicorns, anything like that, am I to reject those ideas outright? Even aliens? I have had a dream once. A prophetic one, in fact. In it, a large demon-like creature was attacking my school after transforming from a boy who I presumably trusted. I called him Draco. He told me to take action. I have to do it. What, exactly? I was unsure, but after years of decoding I finally found out what it meant: there was some kind of dark force that affects not only me but several other people. It was up to me to stop it. I knew that I was meant for something greater. Around that same time my life began its slow and painful decline. Bad thing after bad thing kept happening to

me, increasing in severity. I felt trapped, and at the time I was still trying to cling onto an athiestic approach to life, but that didn't help anything. I felt like I had no control over my life, whether I embraced Christianity or renounced it. It felt like I was constantly being monitored or something, not just by the government or by society, but by something far less obvious.

As Stirner mentioned in *The Ego and His Own*, athiests are not so different from those who practice organized religion. They are just as zealous and unrelenting as they are, only they try to deny it. I didn't really want to believe it for awhile, but it's true. This kind of led me to have some disagreements with many leftists because their whole thing is supposed to be eclectic, unique, and fighting against the status quo. Instead, they use the same bible-thumping logic of religious groups under the veil of something that's even worse if you think about it. Are we to take everything at face value and believe only in what we observe in the material world? Reality is just an idea, you know. It's not nearly as concrete as many think it is, and I feel like we all think this deep down, whether we want to admit it or not. I mean, that's kind of the general idea of Gnosticism: a malevolent deity called the Demiurge has created the material world and it's up to us to achieve Gnosis and defeat him. He also has servants known as Archons who play the role of preventing souls from leaving the physical realm. Whether you believe in Gnosticism or not, it isn't hard to apply this in a more metaphorical sense. Everything around you is a construct. As Nietzsche has said, there is no truth, the very idea of it is absurd. Everything that we experience is purely based on our own subjective experience. Even those obnoxious "facts don't care about your feelings" kind of people are basing it on their own subjective worldview. If you believe in love, empathy, and compassion, you'll find facts that align with it. If you believe in

discrimination, xenophobia, and hierarchy, you will find facts for those. Why did you think "scientific" racism was a thing? Facts do care about your feelings, you just frame it otherwise as an excuse to get mad about pronouns or whatever it is you're pissed about today. The people who listen to that eat it up, and they end up believing it. If you tell yourself a lie, over and over, eventually it'll come true. Hyperstition, as it is called by the CCRU. One example I can think of is the queercore movement. It started with Bruce LaBruce and GB Jones of Fifth Column getting pissed off about all the homophobia that was happening. So what they did was they pretended that there was a queer punk movement going on when there wasn't one, and then people believed it. It then spread out into an actual scene. This doesn't just apply culturally, however, but in individual life as well. For example, I have a tulpa. Her name is Aiwass. You know how tulpas are made? By putting your mental energy into it until it becomes a reality. You will eventually be able to see and hear them, perhaps even touch them (although it won't feel exactly the same as touching a non-tulpa object or person, contrary to some misconceptions). You are creating your own reality. Magick is another example. With enough practice, you can basically reach a godlike status, and the best part is you can make your own rules as to how to get there. For cultural things take a look at any given moral panic. The Red Scare, the Salem Witch Trials, Satanic Panic, that one about video games, that one about various music genres and subcultures, the one about immigrants, and the one about queer people especially trans people. They didn't just come about by one person, it came about by a group of powerful people trying to blame complex issues on a single group, regardless of whether they had anything to do with it or not. And people eat that up once again because of how effective propaganda can be. It leads to sensationalism, violence and harassment against said group (not just physical, but mental,

sexual, and/or emotional violence as well), more oppressive policies, demonization of people, etc etc. We know the score, we've heard it all before. With the Nazis it ended in the Holocaust, and before you Holocaust deniers come it just know that the event was very well documented, there are survivors still alive today to tell you, and they spoke of it regularly in the Nuremberg trials. I'll talk more about Nazis later, but for now let's talk about MAGA.

I'm going to make this brief, but refer to the earlier paragraphs about the spiritual emptiness that I felt. It doesn't just have to do with secularism, but just the everyday system as a whole. The routine of work/school, home, phone, sleep, repeat. The cycle of being a wagecuck working for some corporation that doesn't care about you. We feel isolated more than ever, more aimless knowing that the current system we've had for decades, or even centuries, doesn't work for everybody who isn't the 1%. Depression is at an all time high, and I haven't seen a time period with more pessimism than this one. Welcome to the age of nihilism. Not absurdism, not existentialism, pure and utter nihilism. We've all just been drained to the core, and we all know society is fucked. There's really only one (mainstream) group who has commented on it: MAGA. I'm just gonna come right out and say it, although you probably got this impression throughout this essay. I. Hate. Rightoid. Politics. More than anything. That being said, I can see how a lot of people got to that point in the first place, especially to the extent of MAGA and other far right politics. Let me briefly mention JD Vance. Used to be fairly progressive and anti-Trump, but then defected to his side. I'm sure many of you have relatives or know of celebrities who used to be either not that political or even fairly progressive that then switched sides after Trump's first presidency. If not, maybe you are that person. There are many reasons for this. Let's take Vance's example. In an essay he wrote, he mentions that the

left were more compassionate and were rightfully critiquing systemic issues, but felt disillusioned by their perceived nihilism. He wanted to take action, and many people within the MAGA movement felt that nobody was doing anything in the government. Take liberals for example. See, the problem with them is that they're kind of like conservatives with a progressive flair to it. They still support capitalism and think that change can be made through moderate reforms. The issue with that is the idea that the system is broken. It isn't. The people who caused these issues knew EXACTLY what they were doing. Liberals just wanna protect it without saying they do in order to appease the masses. At least conservatives are upfront about wanting to screw us. Like conservatives, they are easily bribed. Like conservatives, they ignore real issues and instead start bombing innocent people in the middle east. They talk the talk (but just barely), but they NEVER walk the walk. They have no spine, no true values, they just tolerated Trump's rise without resistance. Then they go and try to "do something about it". Oooh, scary signs. That really gave them the message. From needless regulations to out of touch cheese. Of course people are going to defect to the far right, you offered no reason for them to stay! Now people are willingly voting for something that's even WORSE. Going back to Vance, he mentioned that the people should turn to the Christian god. He states that the people need a spiritual revival. So far that's about one of the few things he said that actually made sense. Only problem is that mainstream organized religion won't help much either, at least in the long term. Christianity is HEAVILY promoted in the west, although they may have gotten more subtle in certain areas. But of course, that subtext is thrown out the window with the media, which sometimes is good, sometimes is unbearable. After deciding on the latter, die-hard Christian conservatives would go make appearances on news, make a bunch of Youtube videos, and

just pushing their content out there to using fear and misleading clips to try and push their worldview further. If you enter a church, they are very convinced that their religion is correct, nobody else's. If you have religious parents, I feel bad for you. They'd use religion to guilt you, use it to justify their actions, etc. Now, I don't want to make it seem like Abrahamic religions are inherently evil. Sure, some of their teachings are repressive, but you can pick and choose those out. It's the enforcement of religion and persecution of those who differ from your religion. The Roman Empire, The Crusades, Witch Trials, The Spanish Inquisition, The Holocaust (technically), The Middle Ages in general (specifically in the west), Mary Tudor, much of US history, ISIS, Israel, The Taliban, etc. It's not just the enforced Abrahamic religions that are an issue, it is also a problem to enforce atheism. Take a look at Pol Pot or North Korea, they were just as bad, in some cases worse. This spiritual revitalization that many Christian MAGAs have adopted as a key philosophy is bound to appease nobody except for the Church.

As stated before, people are lonelier. Nobody embodies that more than incels and mass murderers. This is what happens when the isolating mentality often propagated by society pushes someone to the edge. Now, specifically talking about the mass murderers here, I'm gonna be real with you here. See, I sometimes wondered myself if I could ever be pushed to that point. To ever be capable of taking another life. I'm sure it's possible, and anyone may have felt the urge at least once. I looked at many of them and I sympathized with them. Many of them were lonely, outcasts, picked on, or mistreated in some way. I related to them. If it weren't for their bigotry and overall hostility, I could even see myself befriending them before they did what they did. Maybe that's all they needed. If things went differently, maybe they would've been alright people. But alas, that is not what happened. Ultimately,

rather than directing that rage towards the root cause of it all or even better cultivating it in a less destructive way, they ended up killing innocent people who had nothing to do with it. See, many of them were mad at society as a whole. Okay, that's good. However, they didn't look around hard enough, they didn't peel back enough layers, and so they may come to false conclusions, such as Elliot Roger, who was infamous for his misogyny and influence over some incels (more on them later). Rather than killing powerful people who actually control the status quo, their anger is directed at innocents. Most agree that this is wrong. I think they are rightfully pissed off at the world for how it has treated many of them but were either radicalized at some point or had their mental health get out of control, usually a mix of both. Now, blaming mental health alone is not a good idea. Many people suffer similar things and don't kill people. Even so, to ignore it would be ignorant. Society is infamous for how it handles people who are struggling. Sure, the stigma may be lessened a bit in the modern era, but has that really done very much? Everytime I bring up something vaguely about mental health to my parents, I get shot down. "Oh, you don't have that. Just grow up and fix whatever's going on" or something. Standard "American individualism" shit, I guess. People might not have the right resources or people to talk about these things with. Even if they do there's no guarantee they'll feel comfortable enough to get help. Plus, have you seen the ways peers can act? People can say the worst things to each other and play it off as a joke. I should know, I felt it. I've even done it a few times myself when I was younger because I thought it was normal at the time, and then regretted it right after. Or maybe they don't say anything to your face. It'll be behind your back, and in the age of the internet, that kind of talk is ten times more potent than before. They'd get mocked for their differences, their quirks, any little thing. That's the whole basis of cringe culture, just ripping on

anyone who's different from the norm. This kind of Kiwifarms mentality makes it difficult for some people to let loose. Now, some people let loose anyway because they either have the resolve to be themselves or they have the right support from friends. But what happens if they have neither? Would you be pushed to the edge over something beyond your control? And when you do get pushed over the edge, you wish to inflict that same pain onto others (both before and after the killings)? It'd make way more sense to strive to end that suffering for others. I can sympathize and even empathize with the struggles, but I can not forgive them for what they took from this world. To all those who have those same thoughts, there is still hope, it's just hiding where you least expect it.

As for incels, many of them haven't become mass murderers. Thus, it is safer to say I empathize with them to a much greater extent. Their concern was loneliness. Many people give them shit for their aggressive sexism, and rightfully so, but let's examine it for a second. This is in no way endorsing their rhetoric, just looking into their origins. Most of this is largely a societal problem. For one, their sexism is because they blame women for their inability to find a partner. They criticize how they go for these jock types more often than them and that their appearance is what limits them. While true that many people in general (not just women, but yes, women as well) date obnoxious people just for their looks, the issue is more complicated than that. For one thing, there are several conventionally unattractive people who still end up dating, so it's not just looks that are the issue. Even if it was, what does feminism have to do with it? If anything incels should be pro feminist. Think about it. The standards for men have been dominance, independence, taking charge, stoicism, etc. In their minds, the people who get girlfriends more are the ones who'd fit

that description. It'd make sense to want to tear down that kind of system that perpetuates that standard of masculinity, but instead they blame feminism. Either due to radicalization or their own conclusion, they learned to just hate women over time for "denying" them sex. Let me ask you this: what is this obsession with sex? Not just among incels but in general. I get having a desire for it, but many people make it their entire essence. Their destiny, you could say. Sex can have some power, I mean sex magick is a thing, but it's not even that they desire, they just want to have it so badly that their thoughts would constantly come back to it. I have a few theories. One is repression. The culture has tried to instill prudish views on sex based on Christian values onto society. Numerous times. For centuries. But these desires never went away, they just got bottled up, and then they could no longer control it. Then, they don't even know what to do with these feelings, and then get aggressive. Another theory is the complete opposite end of the spectrum. Many people portray sex as "cool" or that you're weirder if you're a virgin. It was worse back then but still present today. This gives people FOMO, and then they desperately want to seek it out but don't know how. They might try to Google or watch videos online to try and find answers, unaware that there isn't a set guide to it. Then they get told that the key to a relationship is to treat women like shit, basically. This fuels misogyny, and while different from the incels they are united by sexism. Sometimes it's just their personality. This is a broad one, so it's severity depends. Sometimes people are just awkward, and in such cases that one isn't really their fault. Some people just don't know how to talk to or interact with others, either through neurodivergence or through lack of contact with other humans. Either way, that one isn't their fault. However, the one that IS their fault is if they're just unpleasant, mean people. They'd be too pushy even after the girl said no, they'd be just creepy overall, be a

"nice guy", or just be aggressive with them. Maybe not even just to women, but they're jerks to everyone. Being an asshole is a learned trait, not one that is inherent. However, if they choose to stay like this and see nothing wrong with their behavior, I'd have no sympathy for them. They're right that there is a problem with loneliness, but you think it's just exclusive to men? Everyone else is as well, I mean the incel movement started with a woman before it was taken over by meatheads. The people within the modern incel movement are no better than the chads they despise so much, in fact in many ways they're worse. My advice to incels is to stop the hateful rhetoric and redirect your focus to supporting each other. All of you have overlapping struggles, this is your chance to really come together here. Instead, you just want to make each other feel worse and tell them that women are to blame. That has to change. Ask yourself what really matters.

Art is very underappreciated these days. We all seem to at least enjoy art on a surface level, and for many it carries a deep emotional connection. It helps spread a message, either to society or an individual. It's a beautiful thing, and one of the easiest ways to manifest your visions into reality, without the use of magick. Despite this, it is often seen as just a hobby, or not productive compared to other things. Well, nothing wrong with hobbies and productivity is a false construct, but that's not the point. Music, literature, paintings, drawings, film, animation, etc. They're seen as marketable, so the creators would often get stifled in the name of profit. Their true potential is limited because of meddling executives who don't know the first thing about art. Let's say it's just one artist making a career out of their work. Well, because it is art and not accounting or whatever your parents want you to do, it's gonna be harder to make money off of it. Even successful creators are still worked to the bone after what was once

something that was done out of love is now done for profit. There are many amazing and talented independent artists today, who are each unique and creative in their own right. Push art all the way to the limit until it's no longer something that can be recognized. Adopt an anything goes, DIY ethos to everything you make, without concern for appeasing the masses or corporations. Also, while on the topic of art, can we please stop co-opting things to try and corrupt its original meaning or intention? Like can we get some media literacy? Thanks.

Looping back to technology, let us talk about industrial society and its consequence. I know, Unibomber did it first, but I have a different take from him. He wants to get rid of all technology. He rightfully points out how the industrial revolution has basically ruined things in many ways. Systems became more complex, Earth has become more polluted and sick, wars are more destructive, and that's just the stuff that he was around to see. He'd flip out about artificial intelligence. One of his biggest points was the environment. It's always been the case that land has been colonized, and the people sold out for profit. However, it was made worse after industrialization. The desire to technologically advance has cost the people and animals their land and livelihood. To this day Native American treaties have been continuously dishonored by the government. This likely would've happened with or without industrialization, but it definitely didn't help. Artificial intelligence is one of the issues we face today and is still having a lot of debate. I personally despised it, at least at first. As an artist I simply cannot allow it. However, I once read about Imogen Heap, a musician who made a couple good songs. She gave some of her songs to an AI company. At first I just thought she was selling out, but then I kept reading. See, she made sure it was strictly on her terms, and only worked with a place that she knew wouldn't do

anything without her knowledge or consent. Artists like Heap are reclaiming AI to be used in an ethical manner, which I definitely admire. It got me thinking about technology as a whole. Like many things, it wasn't just black and white. Obviously large AI companies are to be dissolved, and we should stop with all this generative AI crap, but I feel like if used properly it could be beneficial to us. I thought about posthumanism. I like the idea of posthumanism, but mainly through a spiritual transcendence rather than technological advancements. However, again, technology is still of use to us. Here's what we do: we as a society try to agree on which technologies to keep, which ones to ditch, based on which ones best serve the interests of the people, not corporations or the government.

Praxis

Now that all that's done, let's get more into depth about the actual theory and application of it all. First of all, what are we going to do about the corporations, mainstream media, the Church and the government? Simple: get rid of them. It's not working out. If you look at history you'll see that it is in fact possible to overthrow a government. But do we need to? Short answer: yes. How can it be done? There are MANY ways. Many people would advise against a violent revolution (*cough* liberals), but sometimes it is needed. Self defense is crucial against authoritarianism. Besides, you think a dictator would just willingly give up power? If you had all the power in the world would you just give that up? Of course you wouldn't, you'd be corrupted by its allure, even if you started with good intentions. If you look to Ghengis Kahn, he provided many positive and progressive reforms for the Mongols. Helped them be more equal. He also conquered a lot of land, killed millions, slept with hundreds of women, and brutally killed dissenters. He was

authoritarian through and through. Sure, he brought peace to the Mongols, but most people to this day remember him for his atrocities. He literally could not stop conquering, driven mad by power. Even well intentioned people will end up seduced by power. The Roman Empire fell because of too much power. The Soviets fell because of too much power. Louis XVI died because of too much power. And now the failed experiment that is the US will fall for the same reason. Now, when I say violence may be needed, I mainly mean ONLY against CEOs, politicians, cops, etc. I have no sympathy for those who take advantage of the people. But never under any circumstances kill innocent people. We'd be no better than the government we just got rid of if that were the case. Now, the violence doesn't HAVE to be direct if you don't want it to be. Magick can be a key factor in defeating people. Hexes, demonology, necromancy, collective tulpas, anything that can be used to dismantle the power structure. They'd never see it coming and would have no idea how to combat it. They've been looking for a witch hunt for years. They wanted some kind of spiritual warfare to happen. Well, happy to oblige! Of course, violence isn't the only way to a revolution. Instead, we live our lives how we want to, straight up. Don't want to go to work? Don't go. Want to write on the walls? Go ahead. Just wanna live in the woods somewhere with all the supplies you can carry? Who's going to stop you? Well, the state will try to, of course. But they can only be so many places at once. Overwhelm them by mass resistance. Guy Debord has stated that one of the best things you can do to escape the shackles of capitalism is radical enjoyment of everyday life. Everyone will come together and interact with the world around them, turning it into a work of art. Go nuts! But of course, they aren't the only forces to be fighting against. This revolution is just as spiritual as it is physical. Judgement Day may come soon. The end of humanity will be upon us. What we must do is protect our

existence. If we're going out, let it be on our terms. The bodies in Ragnarok shall fall to the beat of our hearts. It's no secret that the youth are turning to more radical or esoteric beliefs. This is a good thing. In fact, I strongly encourage this to spread further. The old ways simply aren't of any use to us anymore, and it has limited our full potential as people. Aleister Crowley has stated that we should do whatever it takes to find our true destiny in life, and I believe a big part of it is leaving humanity behind and becoming something of a god. Everyone has a god inside of them, which is what Christ himself has told us. Then he died and rather than trying to find that god inside us, we ended up worshipping him instead. I believe we can somehow ascend and then battle the demiurge, with all of us becoming the true gods. But of course, this can not be done alone.

In any free society, everyone's gotta be on the same page. If we want a free egalitarian society, we have to actually live up to those ideals for one, and two, be in agreement that this is the way to go. Some people would cry "echo chamber!" Or "woke hivemind!" All that I am advocating for is a society bound by similar ideals working together to make it all happen. In a truly free society, it has to apply to everyone. If you say that one person can't have something, you're implying that you can't either. So, logically, in order to have a society with individuals each striving for something and wanting to do what they please, we have to help each person achieve that goal and to make sure that they're taken care of. We can do what we want, but we gotta assist each other with kindness and compassion in order to ensure that their needs are met and that they really CAN do what they want. It is the death of individualism and collectivism by combining both of their best aspects. Can disagreements happen? Duh! They're inevitable, that's why it's good to accept multiple ideas so long as they aren't hurting anyone. Everyone is accepted and treated with kindness,

given full liberty and equality regardless of beliefs, race, gender, sexual orientation, disability, disorder, nationality, species, status, age, or class. Any concern they have, we will work with them to make happen. Even tulpas will be fully integrated in society.

My friend and I sometimes discuss politics and philosophy. One day, she brought up a place called CHAZ. It was basically an anarchist territory that ended in violence and didn't last very long. Now, I am NOT a good debator (I found that they don't really change anything and is just a way for people who think they're smarter than you to start a pissing contest. I don't feel as strongly about it as I did then, though), so I didn't really know how to combat it, so we kind of just dropped it and left it alone for awhile. I was then watching a Youtube video by Coolea (you should check him out, he's awesome) explaining anarchy. I quite liked the video. Nuanced, entertaining, understanding, and honest. The video mentions CHAZ and that's when I realized something: the biggest reason that some of these modern anarchist societies don't last as long is because they had no vision. No planning. No organization. As much as I hate being that one person, I think we need to get our shit together. We NEED at least a general idea of how this thing is going to work, we ought to be overall in agreement about certain things, and we need to actually work together. In the video it showed more successful anarchist/anarchist adjacent societies, and the thing is they were more unified. So how should this society even work? Here's what I suggest: a world filled with small communes. Many opposed to anarchism but at least open to the idea would tell you that it wouldn't work on a large scale. I believe it could, but baby steps everyone. You don't think it'll work on a large scale? Fine, how about a small scale? We've seen it several times throughout history: small communities with nobody really in charge. Some tribes did it in the past, medieval peasants would

sometimes operate without a set government often, and if you want more recent examples look at counterculture movements. Hippies often left society behind to form their own communities. Punks lived in small communities as well. They seemed to work out just fine, and I haven't really seen anyone contesting this. So here is what we do: we get communities with a general set of values all over the world. They're all in small communities, and can enter or leave at anytime. Nobody would really be in charge. Very good. On the topic of goods (see what I did there), we distribute them equally among each other. Those who are willing to provide food, medicine, bedding, etc will take care of that and give it to the rest of the community, free of charge. And speaking of food, because of the dissolving of companies, fast food will no longer exist. Take what's left of their food and then what's it's gone, the last remnants of factory farms will be eliminated. Animals should not be killed or hurt, I can't believe I even have to say that. One time I was on Discord and the discussion got to animal rights and stuff, and people would mention all the things they would do to animals for profit, and then they feed that to us. And we enjoy it, either unaware of or apathetic to the horrors that went on to get that food here. I burst into tears while reading all of that, and had to take a break from that discussion. Animals, despite what Abrahamic religions would tell you, are on the same level as humans. They are just as special, just as precious, and just as wonderful as any human you'd see. They've been here longer than we have. Most won't even attack us unless we give them a reason to. And this is how you repay them? Animals deserve just the same rights as humans, no exceptions. Some people might suggest hunting. I personally would never do so myself out of general principle, but I suppose I can at least tolerate it. Actually, scratch that, it's still bad but in a different way. Well, I suppose you could just do as the natives do and make use of every single part

of the animal. Nothing goes to waste, period. I actually like this philosophy. I have no issue with pets, I love pets, they're companions to us, we've developed a nice symbiosis with them. I also have no issue with livestock for the most part, as long as they are treated well. But if we can figure out how to obtain meat in a way that doesn't hurt or kill the animals, I'd be okay with it. Technology is advancing, we might be able to pull it off. Until then, I'd advise against the creation of meat. You might be asking about blood consumption, and to that I say as long as the host has consented and does not die, I have nothing against vampirism.

Schools would also need changing. Anyone regardless of background can get in and is completely free, that includes college. I learned some history in college and let me tell you, they went over stuff I didn't know in high school. Most people don't go to college (mainly because of the money), so most people might not have questioned their history classes unless they looked at it on their own time. The education system doesn't teach us enough, and what it does teach us doesn't manifest correctly. We've been using the same tired methods for decades, and it is time to switch up. We need a fun, engaging, student-led classroom style to be utilized. Plenty of breaks in between if needed, not an overwhelming amount of work, relaxed rules, and options. I want educational facilities to also realize one thing: there is no knowledge that should be forbidden. Period. So no banning any books or topics from being in any school. The focus of schools should be to expand knowledge rather than to workshop you into a career. There are many philosophies of education, and I say the dominant ones should be existentialism and progressivism. Students need the opportunity to be creative, it is needed for development. Even in adulthood, creativity is one of the most important aspects of existence. If we aren't using our

imaginations, what's even the point? Just seems dumb otherwise. Let it be encouraged and endorsed completely. If all somebody wants to do in life is to sit around and draw, there's nothing wrong with that. Stop trying to make everyone fit for the work force or be another cog in the machine. Finally, the role the occult plays into this. Folklore, the paranormal, cryptids, the occult, magic, etc should be talked about in schools as well, and should not just be dismissed as false. People will learn to investigate these things if they choose to, and they will learn how they can apply it in their everyday lives, as well as its history.

Now, much of what I said throughout the text can lead to this one thing: follow your heart regardless of external standards, and that includes the idea of reality. Many religions have stated in some way that reality wasn't absolute. Science has shown that most of our reality is shaped by perception. Vi, the author of the Soulism manifesto, has written a lot about this kind of stuff. She has influenced much of my ideas about reality. Wait, that's not the right wording. She *reinforced* my ideas about reality, which I have felt since I was small and have been building on for awhile. Gnosis, chaos magick (or any kind of magick), tulpas, reality shifting, hyperstition, and many more things. Many of these things prove that all you really need to do to alter reality is to believe. Believe in the unknowable. Believe in what may lie beneath the surface. There are no limits to it. Lucid dreams applied to the physical world in the form of magick. THAT, my friends, is what anarchy logically should lead to. It's all connected you see. Everything is connected to each other in some kind of way. We are all one, and that's partially how individualism and collectivism will die. Fight against the Abrahamic zealots dominant in the world (but Abrahamic religions can continue to exist, of course). Fight against the spiritual nihilism of the modern era (but atheism may

still exist, of course). It's our turn now. Now we give the revolutionaries a chance to shine. Remember, nobody should be forced into a single spiritual belief. Let the ideas flow, both old and new. One will be seen with the same legitimacy as the other. Follow your heart, and you'll be led to a wonderful place. Always. The occult is inherently revolutionary. Living your life guided by nothing but imagination and magic (both literal and figurative) is inherently revolutionary. At heart, we all have that fire inside of us. We just have to look for it sometimes. And may the flames that burn inside of us burn everything around us.